

**Texas Parks and Wildlife Department  
Infrastructure Division  
4200 Smith School Road  
Austin, Texas 78744**

**ADDENDUM**

Addendum Number:	01	Dated:	August 15, 2025
Solicitation Identification/Number:	2025-ElectricConstruct-IDIQ		
Solicitation Title:	Statewide Indefinite Delivery/ Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Electrical Construction Services		
Due Date/Deadline:	August 26, 2025 at 2:00pm		
Contract Manager:	Nicole Chupka   CTCD, CTCM		

PURPOSE OF ADDENDUM:

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced herein remain unchanged and in full force and effect. The following are official revisions, specifications and/or clarifications to this solicitation. This Addendum shall be attached to and form a part of the referenced solicitation document and any resulting awarded contract and must be considered in your response.

Respondents are advised to check for updates, addenda issuance, and proposal opening date changes through the Comptroller's website under *Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD)* using:

<https://www.txsmartbuy.gov/esbd/2025-ElectricConstructIDIQ>

**Offerors are to acknowledge receipt of any/all Addenda on the solicitation Response Form, Section 9.**

**QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES**

QUESTION		RESPONSE
1	Section 2.06.5.2 and 2.06.05.5 of the Request for Proposals document (pdf page 17) related to <i>Proposal Delivery and Handling</i> indicates the response submission may contain a cover letter and shall contain a full table of contents, respectively.  Are there any further instructions for these items? Is there any penalty for not including these items?	<i>Section 2.06.05.2 and 2.06.5.5 are hereby removed from the Request for Proposals. With this, neither a cover page nor a table of contents are required.</i>
2	Section 3.03 of the Request for Proposals document (pdf page 19) related to <i>Initial Evaluation</i> includes a table for <i>Evaluation Criteria</i> . On the line for <i>Section 2: Financial Capacity</i> , the table indicates an additional "financial attachment" is part of the criteria.  What is this "financial attachment"?	<i>The note reading " (+ financial attachment) " is hereby removed from the Request for Proposals. No financial attachment is required to supplement the Response Form Section 2 for Financial Capacity.</i>

*CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE*

3	<p><b>Ramifications of declined Task Orders:</b></p> <p>After award of an IDIQ contract, are there negative consequences for declining to bid on individual task orders? For example, would an El Paso-based contractor~ capable of executing larger projects in Corpus Christi but choosing not to respond to smaller tasks~ be rated less favorably or otherwise penalized?</p>	<p><i>There are no established penalties for declining work when invited via the Request for Work Order Proposal process.</i></p> <p><i>As described in RFP section 1.08, TPWD includes that Contractors shall notify the agency of their intent to accept or reject the Request for Work Order Proposal within 24-hours so the agency may proceed with a next Contractor and avoid delays to the project.</i></p> <p><i>However, the RFP and Contract documents also establish that there is no guarantee of project assignments to Offerors selected for contract (RFP Section 1.04). It is possible that if TPWD Program Managers experience that a Contractor continually declines Work Order opportunities, Program Managers may extend fewer future opportunities to that Contractor to ensure most expedient processes for the Owner.</i></p>
4	<p><b>Regional Thresholds by Project Value:</b></p> <p>Is it possible to propose region-specific thresholds based on estimated contract value? For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Region 1- all RWOPs</li> <li>o Regions 2, 3, &amp; 5- RWOPs valued between \$25K- \$100K</li> <li>o Regions 4 &amp; 6- RWOPs greater than \$50K</li> </ul>	<p><i>TPWD will consider adding preferences or notes for sending Request for Work Order Proposals to awarded Contractors after awards are issued. If preferences are entered as notes after award, TPWD makes no guarantees these will be followed in every instance as preference notes are not a part of official program administration.</i></p> <p><i>In submitting a response on this RFP, when Offerors indicate their ability to serve a Region, the Offeror is indicating their ability to generally serve that Region without attaching any additional qualifiers or exceptions.</i></p>
5	<p><b>Relevant Project Experience:</b></p> <p>For the purposes of demonstrating relevant experience, is it acceptable to submit multiple projects performed under the same Owner or umbrella contract?</p> <p>For instance, would five Task Orders completed under a SABER contract with the National Park Service be considered more applicable than five unrelated private sector projects?</p>	<p><i>TPWD does not wish to guide any Offeror on which projects to select to submit to demonstrate past experience and qualifications. Both the type of services performed (scope) and experience with public works/ public Owners are scored criteria.</i></p> <p><i>However, more points in scoring are ascribed to the Offeror's experience in delivering in the technical electrical skills TPWD seeks for this program; as described in <b>RFP Section 1.06.2 – Evaluated Experience; Specific Skills</b>; than are ascribed to experience with public works.</i></p> <p><i>Regarding the portion of the question whether it is acceptable to submit multiple projects performed under the same Owner: Yes. Offerors may submit multiple projects performed for the same Owner, but in keeping with the <b>Response Forms, Section 4 instructions</b>, these must be listed as individual projects. "For multiple award and IDIQ type contracts [e.g. a SABER], the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project, rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a single Work Order as the project."</i></p>

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

6	<p>Variable Coefficient Structure:</p> <p>Is the pricing coefficient required to be a single, blanket percentage or may it vary based on the distance from a central city or point of performance.</p> <p>For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Projects within 50 miles – 25% markup</li><li>○ Projects beyond 50 miles – 35% markup</li></ul>	<p><i>TPWD requires Offerors to commit to a single coefficient/ markup rate that will be applied to all Work Orders.</i></p> <p><i>Travel costs including mileage from duty point, lodging, meals and incidentals will be compensated when proposed on each Work Order. Travel costs will be priced at U.S. General Services Administration established rates which considers market cost per locale. These travel costs are separate from the coefficient/markup rate.</i></p> <p><i>With travel costs paid, it is TPWD's position that the costs of General Conditions, Overhead and Profit that are to be afforded by the coefficient/ markup are not significantly affected by distance from the central office or duty point.</i></p>
---	--	--

**END OF ADDENDUM 1**