Section 6 (Texas Non-traditional) Report Review

Attachment to letter dated __APR 0.9 2009

		:
TPWD signature date on report 03/06/09		Nontraditional Program (check one):
Project Title: <u>Petersen Ranch Black-capped</u> Conservation Easement, Kendall County, TX	•	 ☑ Recovery Land Acquisition ☑ HCP Land Acquisition ☑ HCP Planning Assistance
Final or Interim Report? Final		Safe Harbors / CCAAs
Grant #: <u>E-50-RL</u>		
Reviewer Station: Austin ESFO		<u> </u>
Lead station was contacted and concurs w Yes No Not applicable (revie	wer is from le	ead station)
Interim Report (check one):	<u>Final</u> Report	t (check one):
is acceptable as is	is acce	ptable as is
is acceptable as is, but comments below need to be addressed in the next report		ptable, but needs minor revision omments below)
needs revision (see comments below)	needs t	major revision (see comments below)

Comments:

FINAL REPORT

As Required by

THE ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM

TEXAS

Grant No. TX E - 50 - RL

Endangered and Threatened Species Conservation

Petersen Ranch Black-capped Vireo Conservation Easement, Kendall County, TX

Prepared by:

Craig Farquhar



Carter Smith Executive Director

Clay Brewer, Acting Division Director, Wildlife

6 March 2009

FINAL REPORT

STATE: Texas	GRANT NUMBER: TX E - 50 - RL		
Grant Title: Petersen Ranch Black-capped	l Vireo Conservation Easement, Kendall County, TX.		
Grant Program: Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation (15.615)			
Contract Period: December 1, 2003	through November 30, 2008		
Reporting Period: December 1, 2003	through November 30, 2008		
Objective: To acquire Black-capped vireo	conservation easement including a management plan		
on 147.5 acres in the northeast portion of Kendall County.			
Accomplishments: None.			
None.			
Summary of Progress:			
As stated in the 2008 Interim Report for this grant the initial subgrantee, Bexar Land Trust, decided to withdraw their interest in the project (see). The Nature Conservancy (TNC Texas) attempted to step in to this role by working with the landowner, Mr. Bob Petersen, to develop a new, revised project statement to submit for approval to TPWD and USFWS. However, the revised project statement included development of a commercial venture within the boundaries of the proposed conservation easement that would have significantly decreased the net conservation value of the property compared to that prior to the acquisition. Therefore, because 1) there would have been a net decrease in conservation value for the property, 2) this change would have been contrary to the original project statement upon which the award was based which stipulated that TPWD and USFWS were to retain 100% of the development rights, and 3) further negotiations did not resolve the dispute, TPWD decided that this project would not be in the best interest of the agency's mission and allowed the grant to close.			
Costs:			
No cost reimbursements have been requ	ested.		
Prepared by: C. Craig Farquhar Section 6 Coordinator (TP)	WD) Date: 6 March 2009		
Approved by: C. Craig Farquhar Section 6 Coordinator (TPV	Date: 6 March 2009 WD)		