

Pinkston Reservoir

2023 Fisheries Management Survey Report

PERFORMANCE REPORT

As Required by

FEDERAL AID IN SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT

TEXAS

FEDERAL AID PROJECT F-221-M-5

INLAND FISHERIES DIVISION MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Brian Metz, Fish and Wildlife Technician III
and
Dan Ashe, Assistant District Management Supervisor

Inland Fisheries Division
Jasper District, Brookeland, Texas

David Yoskowitz, Ph.D.
Executive Director

Timothy Birdsong
Director, Inland Fisheries

July 31, 2024



Contents

Contents	i
Survey and Management Summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Reservoir Description	3
Angler Access.....	3
Management History	3
Results and Discussion.....	4
Fisheries Management Plan for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas.....	6
Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2024–2028).....	8
Literature Cited.....	10
Tables and Figures	11
Reservoir Characteristics	11
Boat Ramp Characteristics.....	12
Harvest Regulations	12
Stocking History.....	13
Structural Habitat Survey.....	14
Percent Directed Angler Effort per Species.....	15
Total Fishing Effort and Fishing Expenditures.....	15
Gizzard Shad	17
Bluegill	18
Largemouth Bass	19
Crappie	22
Proposed Sampling Schedule	23
APPENDIX A – Catch rates for all species from all gear types	24
APPENDIX B – Map of sampling locations.....	25
APPENDIX C – Supplemental angler harvest questions	26
APPENDIX D – reporting of creel ZIP code data.....	27
APPENDIX E – Vegetation map of Lake Pinkston.....	28

Survey and Management Summary

Fish populations in Pinkston Reservoir were surveyed in 2021 and 2023 using electrofishing. Anglers were surveyed from March through May 2022 with a creel survey. Historical data are presented with the 2022-2023 data for comparison. This report summarizes the results of the surveys and contains a management plan for the reservoir based on those findings.

Reservoir Description: Pinkston Reservoir is an impoundment of Sandy Creek, a tributary of the Attoyac Bayou in the Neches River Basin. The City of Center is the controlling authority. Primary uses are water supply and recreation. This reservoir has a surface area of 447 acres at conservation pool (300 feet above mean sea level), a shoreline length of 4 miles, and an average depth of 20 feet. Water level fluctuations average 1 - 3 feet annually. Boat access is available via two boat ramps in poor condition. Bank access is limited to areas around the public boat ramps and the dam.

Management History: Largemouth Bass are the primary sportfish. The 14- to 18-inch slot-length limit for Largemouth Bass (implemented in 1991) was changed to a 14- to 21-inch slot-length limit in 2001. Prior to 2000, hydrilla had been problematic, and coverage exceeded 50% of the reservoir surface area. In 1997, the City of Center stocked triploid Grass Carp at a rate of 7 fish/vegetated acre (2,100 fish total) in an attempt to reduce hydrilla coverage to 30%. Since 2000, hydrilla coverage has varied considerably (range = 2 - 255 acres). Giant salvinia was found in the reservoir in 2006 and successfully eradicated. Giant salvinia was found again in 2021 and again 2022 and was successfully eradicated.

Fish Community

- **Prey species:** Gizzard Shad and Threadfin Shad were the most abundant prey species and provided ample forage for sport fish.
- **Largemouth Bass:** Largemouth Bass were abundant. Size structure has remained consistent over the last three survey years with a high abundance of fish within the protective slot length limit. Largemouth Bass had desirable growth rates and were in average body condition. Most anglers fished for Largemouth Bass, with high success, and no harvest was observed.
- **Crappie:** Anecdotal information indicated that the crappie fishery has historically been cyclical but productive during some years. Moderate angling effort was observed during the spring 2022 creel survey. With fair catch rates reported by anglers. No harvest was observed.

Management Strategies: Continue to manage Largemouth Bass with 14- to 21-inch slot-length limit. In 2024, explore angler opinion regarding a potential change to a 16-inch maximum length limit. Manage lakeside homeowners access through the TPWD-approved Aquatic Vegetation Treatment Proposal process. Continue to inform the City of Center of funding opportunities from the Boating Access Program for boat ramp improvements.

Introduction

This document is a summary of fisheries data collected from Pinkston Reservoir from 2019-2023. The purpose of the document is to provide fisheries information and make management recommendations to protect and improve the sport fishery. While information on other fishes was collected, this report deals primarily with major sport fishes and important prey species. Historical data are presented with the 2019-2023 data for comparison.

Reservoir Description

Pinkston Reservoir was constructed in 1976 on Sandy Creek. It is located in Shelby County approximately 10 miles west of Center and is operated and controlled by the City of Center. Primary water uses included municipal water supply and recreation. The reservoir has a surface area of 447 acres at conservation pool (300 feet above mean sea level), a shoreline length of 4 miles, and an average depth of 20 feet. Water level fluctuations average 1 - 3 feet annually. Habitat at time of sampling consisted of standing timber and aquatic vegetation (primarily hydrilla). Most of the land surrounding the reservoir is used for agriculture, timber production, and residential development. Other descriptive characteristics for Pinkston Reservoir are in Table 1.

Angler Access

Pinkston Reservoir has two public boat ramps, the ramp by the dam has been extended to offer access during periods of low water levels. The east end ramp needs to be extended to provide access during periods of low water levels. Parking areas at both ramps are unpaved and need proper grading and surfacing. Shoreline access is limited to the public boat ramp areas and the dam. Additional boat ramp characteristics are in Table 2.

Management History

Previous management strategies and actions: Management strategies and actions from the previous survey report (Ashe and Driscoll 2020) included:

1. Conduct annual vegetation surveys to monitor hydrilla coverage. If hydrilla coverage prompts public complaints, consult with the City of Center and the angling public to develop management strategies.
Action: Aquatic vegetation surveys were conducted annually from 2019 to 2023.
2. Encourage the City of Center to further improve access and parking.
Action: Funding opportunities were recommended to the City of Center through the Boating Access Program to the City of Center.
3. Monitor success of the of the 14- to -21 inch slot-length limit for Largemouth Bass.
Action: A spring electrofishing survey was conducted in 2023. Largemouth Bass growth was examined in 2023.

Stocking history: Lone Star Bass fry were stocked in 2023. ShareLunker Largemouth Bass fingerlings were stocked in 2006 and 2008 as part of selective breeding research. Triploid Grass Carp were stocked in 1997. Florida Largemouth Bass were stocked in 1976. The complete stocking history is in Table 4.

Vegetation/habitat management history: Prior to 2000, hydrilla was problematic for the City of Center relative to municipal water use, as coverage exceeded 50% of the reservoir surface area. In 1997, triploid Grass Carp were stocked at a rate of 7 fish/vegetated acre (2,100 fish total) in an attempt to reduce hydrilla coverage to 30%. Since 2000, hydrilla has not caused any issues with municipal water use or required treatment. In 2006, giant salvinia was found, but it was quickly eradicated with manual removal. Trace amounts of giant salvinia were found again in 2021 and again 2022 at both boat ramps, and in the southern arm in the northwestern cove. All giant salvinia has been eradicated.

Water transfer: Pinkston Reservoir is primarily used for municipal water supply and recreation. There have been no plans for inter-basin transfer of water.

Methods

Surveys were conducted to achieve survey and sampling objectives in accordance with the objective-based sampling (OBS) plan for Pinkston Reservoir (Ashe and Driscoll 2016). Primary components of the OBS plan are listed in Table 5. All survey sites were randomly selected and all surveys were conducted according to the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2022).

Common names of fishes and their hybrids in this report are used following Page et al. (2023) with an exception for Largemouth Bass. While we recognize recent changes to black bass names, Texas reservoirs contain a mix of Florida Bass, Largemouth Bass, and their intergrade offspring. Therefore, Largemouth Bass is used in this report for simplicity as well as consistency with previous reports.

Electrofishing – Largemouth Bass, sunfishes, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad were collected by electrofishing (1 hour at 12, 5-min stations). Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for electrofishing was recorded as the number of fish caught per hour (fish/h) of actual electrofishing. Age at legal length for Largemouth Bass was estimated using otoliths from 13 randomly selected fish (range 13.0 to 14.9 inches; category II, TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2022.)

Statistics – Sampling statistics (CPUE for various length categories), structural indices [Proportional Size Distribution (PSD), terminology modified by Guy et al. 2007], and condition indices [relative weight (W_r)] were calculated for target fishes according to Neumann et al. (2012). Index of Vulnerability (IOV) was calculated for Gizzard Shad (DiCenzo et al. 1996). Standard error (SE) was calculated for structural indices and IOV. Relative standard error ($RSE = 100 \times SE \text{ of the estimate/estimate}$) was calculated for all CPUE and creel statistics.

Creel survey – A spring quarter access-point creel survey was conducted from March through May. Angler interviews were conducted on 5 weekend days and 4 weekdays per quarter to assess angler use and fish catch/harvest statistics in accordance with the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2022).

Habitat – A structural habitat survey was conducted in 2007. Vegetation surveys were conducted in 2016–2019 to estimate hydrilla coverage and monitor for giant salvinia presence. Habitat was assessed with the digital shapefile method (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2022).

Results and Discussion

Habitat: The last structural habitat survey conducted in 2007 included timber, and concrete which has remained unchanged relatively unchanged since the 2007 survey (Ashe and Driscoll 2008). Historically, hydrilla has comprised nearly all of the vegetative cover and has provided beneficial fish habitat. During 2007 – 2014, coverage ranged from 30% to 57% of reservoir surface area. From 2015 - 2018 hydrilla coverage was < 10% (Table 6). High water levels and turbid runoff from heavy spring and early summer rains likely impeded growth and survival. However, hydrilla rebounded to 38% and remained stable until 2023 when hydrilla coverage reached 44% (Table 6). Historically in 1997 the controlling authority stocked grass carp to manage hydrilla coverage. Homeowners can be impeded by swimming access on the shoreline.

Creel: Over the last three survey periods, directed fishing effort was consistently highest for Largemouth Bass (87% - 97% of total directed effort) (Table 7). In 2012 there was no directed effort for crappies. In 2018 directed effort for crappie rose to 13%, then in 2022 dropped to 4.3%. In 2022, total fishing effort (7,980 h) and direct expenditures (\$45,606) were higher than observed in 2018 (4,463 h; \$23,165) and 2012 (7,766 h; \$32,326) (Table 8). Most anglers were local, traveling 25 miles or less (Appendix D).

Prey species: Electrofishing surveys indicated a good forage base for sport fishes. Threadfin Shad were present during the 2022 electrofishing survey (Appendix A) and comprise most of the clupeid population, along with majority of the forage for Largemouth Bass. Catch rates of Gizzard Shad and Bluegill in 2022 were 61.0/h, 30.0/h respectively (Figures 1 - 2). Index of vulnerability (IOV) for Gizzard Shad was 0 in 2022, which indicated no fish were small enough to be consumed by existing predators. CPUE of Bluegill

in 2022 (30.0/h) was lower than that from 2019 (274.0/h) and lower than observed in 2015 (141.0/h); size structure was dominated by small individuals ($x \leq 5$ Inches). This decline in Bluegill is likely due to the electrofishing inefficiency caused by the heavy hydrilla coverage. While forage abundance seemed low overall, the forage base remained adequate for predators, based on overall adequate Largemouth Bass condition, growth, and recruitment into the protected slot limit.

Largemouth Bass: Electrofishing surveys reflected an abundant Largemouth Bass population with high recruitment rates. Total catch rate (101.0/h) from the 2022 fall electrofishing survey was lower than in 2019 (260.0/h) and higher than in the 2015 surveys (98.0/h) (Figure 3). The lower catch rate in 2023 was likely due to the increased hydrilla coverage hindering sampling efficiency. Size structure (PSD range = 69 – 81) and body condition (relative weight above 85 for most size classes) have remained desirable over the past three surveys. Growth of Largemouth Bass was moderate; mean age at 14 inches (13.5 to 14.5 inches) was 2.7 years ($N = 13$; range = 2 - 4 years). Spring electrofishing catch rates were consistently substantial over the last three surveys (range = 212.0 – 297.0/h) with relatively stable population structure and high recruitment into the slot-length limit (PSD range = 80 – 86) (Figure 4). The spring electrofishing survey in 2022 was cancelled due to heavy hydrilla coverage and related sampling inefficiency. Harvest of Largemouth Bass has not been detected for several consecutive surveys.

Similar to previous years, Largemouth Bass accounted for nearly all of the angling effort during the 2022 spring quarter creel survey (95.7%) (Table 9). Directed effort in 2022 (17.1 h/acre) was approximately double of that observed in 2018 (8.7 h/acre) and slightly higher than in 2012 (16.9 h/acre) (Table 9). Angler catch rates in 2022 (0.5/h) were lower than 2018 (1.4/h) and 2012 (0.7/h), and 100% of legal-sized fish were released in 2018 and 2022. In 2012 97.3% of legal-sized fish were released. During 2022, an estimated 155 fish 4.0 – 6.9 pounds were caught, while no fish > 7.0 pounds were observed. Nearly all anglers interviewed (90%) in 2022 reported that they always practice catch and release, which was a considerable increase compared to 2012 (51%) (Appendix C). In 2008, 34% of anglers indicated they might harvest a fish > 21 inches, compared to only 5% in 2018 which remained the same in 2022. Due to little data and years with zero harvest a length frequency and harvest graph for Largemouth Bass was not included.

Crappie: Historically, trap net catch rates of crappie have been too low to capture trends with precision. Trap net surveys were discontinued in 2003. In 2018 anglers directed 13% of fishing effort to crappie. Catch was low (0.1 fish/h) and no harvest was observed (Table 10). In 2022 anglers directed 4.3% of fishing effort to crappie with a fair catch (0.43 fish/h), however, harvest was not observed (Table 10).

Fisheries Management Plan for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas

Prepared – July 2024

ISSUE 1: Hydrilla provides beneficial habitat in Pinkston Reservoir, but coverage has exceeded 57% in the past and impeded municipal use and angler access. Hydrilla covered 51% of the reservoir in 2023. Potential increases in coverage may affect municipal use or prompt public complaints. In addition, reintroduction of giant salvinia is likely.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Continue to monitor aquatic vegetation annually (2024-2028). If hydrilla coverage prompts public or controlling authority complaints, meet with city officials and angling public to develop vegetation management strategies to bring it down to beneficial coverage percentage.
2. Permit lakeside homeowners (at their own expense) to treat hydrilla adjacent to their property under a TPWD-approved Aquatic Vegetation Treatment Proposal.
3. Collaborate with the Aquatic Habitat Enhancement team when hydrilla impedes public access.

ISSUE 2: Parking lots at both boat ramps are unpaved and in poor condition while the East End boat ramp needs to be extended to offer access during periods of low water levels.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Continue to recommend access point improvements and funding opportunities from the Boating Access Program to the City of Center.

ISSUE 3: Angler desire to harvest Largemouth Bass > 21 inches has declined over the last four creel survey years. Thus, anglers may be receptive to increased harvest protection for larger bass to increase trophy fish potential.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. During the spring quarter creel survey in 2026, collect angler opinion regarding a potential regulation change to a 16-inch maximum length limit. Cooperate with local game wardens on survey implementation to increase angler sample size.
2. Document angler catch of Largemouth Bass \geq 8 pounds via the TPWD ShareLunker Program to justify future Lonestar Bass stockings. Increase angler awareness and participation in program by promoting when opportunities arise.

ISSUE 4: Many invasive species threaten aquatic habitats and organisms in Texas and can adversely affect the state ecologically, environmentally, and economically. For example, zebra mussels can multiply rapidly and attach themselves to any available hard structure, restricting water flow in pipes, fouling swimming beaches, and plugging engine cooling systems. Giant salvinia and other invasive vegetation species can form dense mats, interfering with recreational activities like fishing, boating, skiing, and swimming. The financial costs of controlling and/or eradicating these types of invasive species are significant. Additionally, the potential for invasive species to spread to other river drainages and reservoirs via watercraft and other means is a serious threat to all public waters of the state.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Cooperate with the controlling authority to post appropriate signage at access points around the reservoir.
2. Contact and educate marina owners about invasive species, and provide them with posters, literature, etc... so that they can in turn educate their customers.
3. Educate the public about invasive species through the use of media and the internet.
4. Make a speaking point about invasive species when presenting to constituent and user groups.
5. Keep track of (i.e., map) existing and future interbasin water transfers to facilitate potential invasive species responses.

Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2024–2028)

Sport fish, forage fish, and other important fishes

Sport fishes in Pinkston Reservoir include Largemouth Bass and crappie. Important forage species Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad.

Low-density fisheries

Anecdotal information indicates that historically the crappie fishery was cyclical but productive during some years. However, directed angling effort has been low during spring quarter creel surveys. Trap netting was discontinued in 2003 due to low catch ($<0.6/\text{nn}$). Although no future directed sampling is planned, the crappie fishery will be monitored via spring quarter creel surveys (2026, and every four years thereafter).

In 1987, a Channel Catfish stocking exceeding 300 fish/acre had limited success, as none have been collected since 1989. There was no observed directed angler effort for catfish during the last three spring creel surveys. Channel Catfish recruitment is likely limited by Largemouth Bass predation. In addition, high vegetative cover during most years likely limits nutrients available for preferred food items (i.e., benthic invertebrates). Gillnetting was discontinued in 2012. Although no future directed sampling is planned, the catfish fishery will be monitored via spring quarter creel surveys (2026, and every four years thereafter).

Survey objectives, fisheries metrics, and sampling objectives

Largemouth Bass: Largemouth Bass are the most popular sport fish in Lake Pinkston, accounting for approximately 90% of the annual angling effort. The reservoir currently supports a popular, high-quality Largemouth Bass fishery. Largemouth Bass have been managed with a 14-21 inch slot length limit since 2001. Creel surveys were conducted in 2008, 2012 and 2018 to collect trend data on angling catch, effort, and harvest. Since 2005, trend data on CPUE, size structure, and body condition have been collected every four years with fall electrofishing, and biennially with spring electrofishing. The population is abundant, recruitment rates have been stable into the slot, and size structure has been desirable and stable. Continuation of trend data with night electrofishing in the fall (2027, and every four years thereafter), spring electrofishing (biennially, 2024 and 2026), and a spring quarter creel survey (2026) will allow for determination of any large-scale changes in the Largemouth Bass population and fishery that may spur further investigation (Table 11). The minimum of 12 randomly selected 5-min electrofishing sites will be sampled, but the anticipated effort to meet sampling objectives ($N = 50$ stock-size fish; $RSE-S \leq 25$) is 6-8 stations with 80% confidence. In addition, average age of Largemouth Bass between 13.0 and 14.9 inches (Category 2; $N = 13$) will be estimated in 2027 to monitor any changes in Largemouth Bass body conditions, and every four years thereafter.

Prey species: Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad are the primary forage at Lake Pinkston. Fall electrofishing every four years, sampling the minimum of 12 random sites, will result in sufficient numbers of Bluegill to achieve sampling objectives ($N = 50$ stock-size fish; $RSE-S \leq 25$). No additional effort will be expended to achieve an $RSE\text{-Total} \leq 25$ for Gizzard Shad and Threadfin Shad, but Largemouth Bass body condition (fish $\geq 8"$ TL) will be used to provide additional information on forage abundance and vulnerability.

Vegetation Surveys: Annual aquatic vegetation surveys will be conducted in June to document beneficial fish habitat along with other aquatic growth. Hydrilla has reached a historic high of 57% and has become problematic at that coverage; which we want to keep it beneficial instead of problematic. Problematic invasive vegetation will also be documented to ensure awareness and control over noxious species.

Angler Creel Data: Anglers on Pinkston Reservoir contribute approximately \$45,606 to the local economy on direct fishing expenditures. Historically over the last 3 creel surveys direct fishing expenditures have steadily increased. Angler trend data will continue to be monitored every four years with access point creels from March 2027 – June 2027. Each creel will consist of 5 randomly selected weekend days and 4 randomly selected weekdays.

Literature Cited

- Ashe, D., and T. Driscoll. 2008. Statewide freshwater fisheries monitoring and management program survey report for Pinkston Reservoir, 2007. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Federal Aid Report F-30-R-33, Austin.
- Ashe, D., and T. Driscoll. 2019. Statewide freshwater fisheries monitoring and management program survey report for Pinkston Reservoir, 2020. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Federal Aid Report F-221-M-6, Austin.
- DiCenzo, V. J., M. J. Maceina, and M. R. Stimpert. 1996. Relations between reservoir trophic state and Gizzard Shad population characteristics in Alabama reservoirs. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:888-895.
- Guy, C. S., R. M. Neumann, D. W. Willis, and R. O. Anderson. 2007. Proportional size distribution (PSD): a further refinement of population size structure index terminology. *Fisheries* 32(7): 348.
- Neumann, R. M., C. S. Guy, and D. W. Willis. 2012. Length, weight, and associated indices. Pages 637-676 in A. V. Zale, D. L. Parrish, and T. M. Sutton, editors. *Fisheries techniques*, 3rd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Page, L. M., K. E. Bemis, T. E. Dowling, H. S. Espinosa-Perez, L. T. Findley, C. R. Gilbert, K. E. Hartel, R. N. Lea, N. E. Mandrak, M. A. Neighbors, J. J. Schmitter-Soto, and H. J. Walker, Jr. 2023. Common and scientific names of fishes from the United States, Canada, and Mexico. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 37, Bethesda, Maryland.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Characteristics of Pinkston Reservoir, Texas.

Characteristic		Description
Year constructed	1976	
Controlling authority	City of Center	
County	Shelby	
Reservoir type	Tributary	
Shoreline Development Index	5.05	
Conductivity	85 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$	

Table 2. Boat ramp characteristics for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, September, 2023. Reservoir elevation at time of survey was 296 feet above mean sea level.

Boat ramp	Latitude Longitude (dd)	Public	Parking capacity (N)	Elevation at end of boat ramp (ft)	Condition
East Ramp	31.70464 -94.33678	Y	10	293	Parking area poor, ramp extension needed
Dam	31.71018 -94.36289	Y	8	294	Parking area poor

Table 3. Harvest regulations for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas.

Species	Bag limit	Length limit
Catfish, Channel ^a	25	12-inch minimum
Bass, Largemouth	5 (only 1 > 21 inches)	14- to 21-inch slot
Crappie: White and Black Crappie, their hybrids and subspecies	25 (in any combination)	10-inch minimum

^a Use of trotlines is prohibited.

Table 4. Stocking history of Pinkston Reservoir, Texas. FRY = fry; AFGL = advanced fingerling; UNK = unknown.

Species	Year	Number	Size
Channel Catfish	1976	40,000	AFGL
	1987	165,040	AFGL
	Total	205,040	
Flathead Catfish	1977	2,000	UNK
Florida Largemouth Bass	1976	85,000	FRY
Lonestar Bass ^a	2023	50,444	FRY
Northern Pike	1976	24,000	UNK
	2006	11,150	AFGL
	2008	10,967	AFGL
	Total	22,117	
Triploid Grass Carp	1997	2,100	AFGL
Threadfin Shad	1979	1,500	AFGL

^a Lone Star Bass are 2nd generation offspring of pure Florida strain ShareLunker Largemouth Bass that have proven to be able to grow to ≥ 13 pounds.

^b ShareLunker Largemouth Bass are 1st generation offspring from angler-donated Largemouth Bass ≥ 13 pounds from the Toyota ShareLunker program.

Table 5. Objective-based sampling plan components for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2023-2024.

Gear/target species	Survey objective	Metrics	Sampling objective
<i>Electrofishing</i>			
Largemouth Bass	Abundance	CPUE–Stock	RSE-Stock ≤ 25
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$ stock
	Age-and-growth	Age at 14 inches	$N = 13, 13.0 - 14.9$ inches
	Condition	W_r	10 fish/inch group (max)
Bluegill ^a	Abundance	CPUE–Total	RSE ≤ 25
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$
Threadfin Shad ^a	Abundance	CPUE–Total	
Gizzard Shad ^a	Abundance	CPUE–Total	
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	
	Prey availability	IOV	
<i>Creel Survey</i>			
Largemouth Bass	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	
Crappies	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	
Catfishes	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	

^a No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE ≤ 25 for CPUE of Bluegill and Gizzard Shad if not reached from designated Largemouth Bass sampling effort. Instead, Largemouth Bass body condition can provide information on forage abundance, vulnerability, or both relative to predator density.

Table 6. Survey of aquatic vegetation, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2019–2023. Surface area (acres) is listed with percent of total reservoir surface area in parentheses.

Species	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
American lotus	2 (<1)	0 (0)	2 (<1)	2 (<1)	<1 (<1)
Spikerush	6 (1)	11 (2)	6 (1)	<1 (<1)	< (<1)
Giant cutgrass	0 (0)	<1 (<1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Eurasian watermilfoil	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<1 (<1)	0 (0)
Coontail	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	37 (8)	29 (6)
Bulrush	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<1 (<1)
Cattail	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<1 (<1)
Bulltongue Arrowhead	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<1 (<1)
Torpedo grass	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<1 (<1)
Hydrilla (Tier III)*	168 (38)	37 (8)	168 (38)	218 (49)	230 (51)

*Tier III is watch status

Table 7. Percent directed angler effort by species for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2012, 2018 and 2022.

Species	2012	2018	2022
Sunfishes	0.0	0.0	0.0
Largemouth Bass	97.2	86.7	95.7
Crappies	0.0	13.3	4.3
Anything	2.8	0.0	0.0

Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 May.

Table 8. Total fishing effort (h) for all species and total directed expenditures at Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2012, 2018 and 2022. Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 May. Relative standard error is

Creel statistic	2012	2018	2022
Total fishing effort	7,766 (20)	4,463 (37)	7,980 (41)
Total directed expenditures	\$32,326 (58)	\$23,165 (73)	\$45,606 (72)

in parentheses.

Gizzard Shad




Figure 1. Number of Gizzard Shad caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for IOV are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2019, and 2023.

Bluegill




Figure 2. Number of Bluegill caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2019, and 2023.

Largemouth Bass




Figure 3. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2019, and 2023. Vertical lines indicate slot limit.

Largemouth Bass




Figure 4. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring electrofishing surveys, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2019, and 2023. Vertical lines indicate slot limit.

Table 9. Creel survey statistics for Largemouth Bass at Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2012, 2018 and 2023. Survey periods were from 1 March through 31 May. Catch rate and total catch are for all anglers targeting Largemouth Bass. For estimated catch of 4, 7, and 10-pound fish, the percentages of total catch are provided. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2012	2018	2022
Surface area (acres)	447	447	447
Directed angling effort (h)	7,549.6 (19)	3,870.5 (38)	7,638 (39)
Angling effort/acre ^a	16.9 (19)	8.7 (38)	17.1 (41)
Catch rate (number/h)	0.7 (30)	1.4 (18)	0.5 (32)
Total catch	6,578 (37)	7,284 (54)	3,571 (48)
< 4.0 lbs	5,686 – 86.4%	6,796 – 93.2%	3,416 – 95.7%
4.0-6.9 lbs	872 – 13.3%	493 – 6.8%	155 – 4.3%
7.0-9.9 lbs	20 – 0.3%	0 – 0%	0 – 0%
Harvest	60 (72)	0	0
Harvest/acre	0.1 (72)	0	0
Percent legal released	97.3	100.0	100.0

Crappie

Table 10. Creel survey statistics for crappie at Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2012, 2018 and 2022. Survey periods were from 1 March through 31 May. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting crappie and total harvest is the estimated number of crappie harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2012	2018	2022
Surface acres (acres)	447	447	447
Directed effort (h)	0.0	592.0 (67)	342.2 (99)
Directed effort/acre	0.0	1.3 (67)	0.8 (99)
Total catch per hour	0.0	0.1 (41)	0.43 (139)
Total harvest	23.0 (111)	0.0	0.0
Harvest/acre	0.1 (111)	0.0	0.0
Percent legal released	0.0	100.0	100.0

Proposed Sampling Schedule

Table 11. Proposed sampling schedule for Pinkston Reservoir, Texas. Survey period is June through May. Electrofishing surveys are conducted in the fall and spring. Standard survey denoted by S and additional survey denoted by A.

	Survey year			
	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028
Angler Access		X		X
Vegetation	X	X	X	X
Electrofishing – Fall				X
Electrofishing – Spring		X		X
Creel survey			X	
Report				X

APPENDIX A – Catch rates for all species from all gear types

Number (N) and catch rate (CPUE) (RSE in parentheses) of all target species collected from all gear types from Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2023-2024. Sampling effort was 1 hour for electrofishing.

Species	Spring Electrofishing		Fall Electrofishing	
	N	CPUE	N	CPUE
Gizzard Shad			61	61.0 (39)
Threadfin Shad			8,793	8,793.0 (14)
Bluegill			30	30.0 (24)
Redear Sunfish			1	1.0 (100)
Largemouth Bass	297	297.0 (10)	101	101.0 (16)

APPENDIX B – Map of sampling locations



Location of sampling sites, Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, 2023-2024. Fall and spring electrofishing stations are indicated by F and S, respectively. Water level was near full pool at time of sampling. APPENDIX C – Reporting categories for age and growth

APPENDIX C – Supplemental angler harvest questions


Which one of the following best describes your harvest practices for largemouth bass at Lake Pinkston under the current regulation (14- to 21-inch slot limit, 1 fish bag per day over 21 inches)?

1. I always practice catch and release regardless of the size of the bass I catch.
2. I practice catch and release for fish that are larger than 21 inches but may keep bass I catch that are less than 14 inches.
3. I practice catch and release for bass that are less than 14 inches but may harvest a bass that is larger than 21 inches.
4. I always harvest bass that are outside the slot limit (less than 14 inches or 1 bass larger than 21 inches).

Results of additional creel questions used to identify potential harvest practices of anglers at Pinkston reservoir. Values are the percent of anglers that responded to answer each question.

Creel Question	2008 (N = 25)	2012 (N = 19)	(2018 (N = 21)	2022 (N = 21)
1	32	51	90	90
2	18	31	31	5
3	34	13	5	5
4	16	5	0	0

APPENDIX D – reporting of creel ZIP code data



Frequency of anglers that traveled various distances (miles) to Pinkston Reservoir, Texas, as determined from the March through May 2022 creel survey.

APPENDIX E – Lake Pinkston Vegetation Map 2024

Lake Pinkston Vegetation Survey AY2024


Conducted on: 7/19/2023

Map Prepared By: Brian Metz



Vegetation

- Bulrush <1 Acre (<1%)
- Spikerush <1 Acre (<1%)
- Cattails <1 Acre (<1%)
- Bulltongue Arrowhead <1 Acre (<1%)
- Torpedo Grass <1 Acre (<1%)
- Lotus <1 Acre (<1%)
- Coontail 29 Acre (6%)
- Hydrilla 230 Acres (44%)





Life's better outside.®

In accordance with Texas State Depository Law, this publication is available at the Texas State Publications Clearinghouse and/or Texas Depository Libraries.

© Texas Parks and Wildlife, PWD RP T3200-1360 (08/24)

TPWD receives funds from the USFWS. TPWD prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, disability, age, and gender, pursuant to state and federal law. To request an accommodation or obtain information in an alternative format, please contact TPWD on a Text Telephone (TTY) at (512) 389-8915 or by Relay Texas at 7-1-1 or (800) 735-2989 or by email at accessibility@tpwd.texas.gov. If you believe you have been discriminated against by TPWD, please contact TPWD, 4200 Smith School Road, Austin, TX 78744, or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office for Diversity and Workforce Management, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041.