

Toledo Bend Reservoir

2019 Fisheries Management Survey Report

PERFORMANCE REPORT

As Required by

FEDERAL AID IN SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT

TEXAS

FEDERAL AID PROJECT F-221-M-4

INLAND FISHERIES DIVISION MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Prepared by:

Todd Driscoll, District Management Supervisor
and
Dan Ashe, Assistant District Management Supervisor

Inland Fisheries Division
Jasper District, Brookeland, Texas

Carter Smith
Executive Director

Craig Bonds
Director, Inland Fisheries

July 31, 2020



Contents

Contents	i
Survey and Management Summary	1
Introduction.....	2
Reservoir Description	2
Angler Access.....	2
Management History	2
Methods.....	4
Results and Discussion.....	4
Fisheries Management Plan for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas	7
Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2020–2024).....	9
Literature Cited.....	11
Tables and Figures	12
Water Level	12
Reservoir Characteristics	12
Boat Ramp Characteristics.....	13
Harvest Regulations	15
Stocking History.....	16
Objective-Based Sampling Plan for 2019-2020	18
Aquatic Vegetation Survey	19
Percent Directed Angler Effort per Species.....	19
Total Fishing Effort and Fishing Expenditures.....	19
Gizzard Shad.....	20
Bluegill	21
Sunfishes	22
Blue Catfish	23
Channel Catfish	24
Catfishes.....	25
White Bass.....	26
Temperate basses	27
Largemouth Bass	28
Black basses	30
Crappies	32
Proposed Sampling Schedule	33
APPENDIX A – Catch rates for all species from all gear types	34

APPENDIX B – Map of sampling locations	35
APPENDIX C – Black bass tournament results	37
APPENDIX D – Toledo Bend Lake Association lunker bass entries	38
APPENDIX E – Angler opinion regarding a bag limit change for Largemouth Bass	39
APPENDIX F – Reporting of creel ZIP code data.....	40

Survey and Management Summary

Fish populations in Toledo Bend Reservoir were surveyed in 2019 using electrofishing, and in 2020 using gill netting and electrofishing. Anglers were surveyed from June 2019 through May 2020 with a creel survey. Historical data are presented with the 2019-2020 data for comparison. This report summarizes the results of the surveys and contains a management plan for the Texas side of the reservoir based on those findings.

Reservoir Description: Toledo Bend Reservoir is a 181,600-acre (70,469 acres in Texas) impoundment of the Sabine River in Newton, Sabine, and Shelby counties in southeast Texas. Water level fluctuations average 3 feet annually, but in 2019 the reservoir was lowered 7 feet below full pool for spillway repairs. Historically, aquatic habitat consisted of over 10,000 surface acres of aquatic vegetation (primarily hydrilla and American lotus) but coverage has declined considerably in recent years. The primary aquatic habitat is standing timber.

Management History: Historically, the black bass fishery has been the most popular at Toledo Bend Reservoir, accounting for 65 to 85% of annual angling effort. Approximately 10 to 20% of anglers target crappie. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) has stocked Florida Largemouth Bass (FLMB) annually since 1990 (except 2006 and 2014) to increase abundance of bass > 8 pounds. The Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF) also stocks FLMB annually. Joint efforts with LDWF have resulted in standardization of all recreational harvest regulations. In 1998, giant salvinia was discovered in Toledo Bend Reservoir. In 2013, plant coverage reached the historic high (9,314 acres) and impeded angler access. Since then, water level fluctuations coupled with increased herbicide treatments of commercial and TPWD applicators have resulted in extensive reductions in coverage during most years.

Fish Community

- **Prey species:** Gizzard Shad, Threadfin Shad, and Bluegill were the most abundant prey species and provided ample forage for sport fish.
- **Catfishes:** Blue Catfish abundance increased over the last three survey years, and high numbers of fish 12 to 30 inches were available to anglers. Similarly, Channel Catfish abundance also increased, but the majority of fish were < 12 inches. Catfish angling catch rate was high and averaged 2.8/h. Blue Catfish and Flathead Catfish provided trophy opportunities for anglers.
- **Temperate basses:** White Bass were present in low numbers. Few anglers target White Bass in the reservoir (no fishing effort observed in 2019/2020), but during the spawning season (January – March) the fishery is popular in the Sabine River above the reservoir.
- **Black basses:** Spotted Bass were present in low numbers. Largemouth Bass abundance was moderate and lower than the previous two survey years. Size structure, fish condition, and growth (age at 14 inches was 2.1 years) were relatively stable and desirable. The black bass fishery was most popular (72% of fishing effort). Angling catch rate was 0.7 /h and declined from previous years.
- **Crappies:** White Crappie and Black Crappie were present in the reservoir. Angling catch (0.8/h) and total harvest (73,860 fish) reflected an abundant crappie population.

Management Strategies: Continue to manage Largemouth Bass harvest with a 14-inch minimum length limit. Consult with LDWF regarding a potential change of the daily black bass limit from 8 fish to 5 fish/day. Collect angler catch of trophy Largemouth Bass via the tournament-monitoring program, creel surveys, TPWD ShareLunker Program, and the Toledo Bend Lake Association Lunker Bass Program to justify FLMB stockings. Request annual stockings of FLMB to maximize trophy fish abundance. Maintain information signs, conduct annual aerial vegetation surveys, and apply herbicides when appropriate to minimize impacts of giant salvinia. Continue to promote fish handling procedures that reduce tournament-related mortality to minimize impacts on Largemouth Bass population and reduce conflicts with non-tournament anglers. Publish monthly articles in the Lakecaster magazine to inform angling public regarding TPWD activities.

Introduction

This document is a summary of fisheries data collected from the Texas side of Toledo Bend Reservoir in 2019-2020. The purpose of the document is to provide fisheries information and make management recommendations to protect and improve the sport fishery. While information on other fishes was collected, this report deals primarily with major sport fishes and important prey species. Historical data are presented with the 2019-2020 data for comparison.

Reservoir Description

Toledo Bend Reservoir is an impoundment of the Sabine River in Newton, Sabine, and Shelby counties in southeast Texas. The Sabine River Authority (SRA) constructed the reservoir in 1966 for municipal, industrial, and agricultural water supply, generation of hydroelectric power, and recreational use. At conservation pool (172 feet above mean sea level), Toledo Bend Reservoir is 181,600 surface acres (70,469 acres in Texas), has a shoreline length of 1,200 miles, and a mean depth of 20 feet. Water level fluctuation averages three feet annually. In 2019, repairs to the spillway required a drawdown of seven feet for approximately five months (Figure 1). The reservoir was mesotrophic with a mean Carlson's Trophic State Index chl-*a* of 48.8 (Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2020). Habitat at time of sampling consisted of sparse amounts of aquatic vegetation and standing timber. Most of the land around the reservoir is used for timber production, agriculture, and residential development. Other descriptive characteristics for Toledo Bend Reservoir are in Table 1.

Angler Access

Toledo Bend Reservoir has 33 public boat ramps on the Texas side. Additional boat ramp characteristics are in Table 2. Shoreline access is limited to public boat ramp areas.

Management History

Previous management strategies and actions: Management strategies and actions from the previous survey report (Driscoll and Ashe 2016) included:

1. Stock Florida Largemouth Bass (FLMB) annually ($\geq 500,000$ fingerlings) to maintain and improve large fish potential.

Action: FLMB were stocked in 2017, 2018, and 2019.

2. Conduct biennial electrofishing (fall and spring) and creel surveys to monitor status of Largemouth Bass population.

Action: Fall electrofishing surveys were conducted in 2017 and 2019, spring electrofishing surveys were conducted in 2018 and 2020, and a creel survey was conducted from June 2019 through May 2020.

3. Continue black bass tournament-monitoring program to increase information on relative abundance of large fish (> 20 inches).

Action: Since 2011, data from 46 tournaments have been compiled.

4. Conduct annual vegetation surveys to monitor giant salvinia and hydrilla abundance and recommend management strategies.

Action: Annual vegetation surveys have been conducted since 1998. Aerial flights have been conducted since 2006. Primary control methods have included herbicide treatments and salvinia weevil releases.

5. Conduct gillnetting surveys every two years to monitor the status of catfish populations.

Action: Surveys were conducted in 2018 and 2020.

6. Publish monthly popular articles in the *Lakecaster*, a newsletter distributed to 30 counties in Texas and Louisiana.

Action: Articles highlighting TPWD activities at Toledo Bend Reservoir have been published monthly since 2000.

Harvest regulation history: Due to standardization of harvest regulations with LDWF, no sport fish in Toledo Bend Reservoir are managed with TPWD statewide regulations (Table 3). Standardization of Striped Bass and White Bass harvest regulations occurred in 1980 and 1997, respectively, and black bass regulations were standardized in 1991. In 2011, regulations were standardized for crappies and catfishes. In 2014, the size-regulated bag limit component of the Blue and Channel Catfish regulation was changed from 20 to 30 inches.

Stocking history: Since 1990, Toledo Bend Reservoir has received annual stockings of FLMB every year except 2006 and 2014 (Table 4). From 1992 to 2009, Striped Bass were stocked annually by LDWF. Surplus Striped Bass fingerlings were stocked by TPWD in 2002.

Vegetation/habitat management history: Historically, nuisance exotic species included water hyacinth and giant salvinia. Since 2010, water hyacinth coverage has declined to trace amounts scattered throughout areas infested with giant salvinia, which has become problematic throughout the reservoir. From 1998 to 2004, herbicide treatments conducted by the Aquatic Habitat Enhancement (AHE) staff, coupled with annual water level drawdowns each fall, limited giant salvinia coverage to < 500 acres. The cessation of fall drawdowns in the mid-2000s was accompanied by significant increases in giant salvinia acreage, particularly during 2006 to 2014. Beginning in 2016, dedicated legislative funding resulted in more frequent herbicide treatments by AHE and private applicators, which has generally reduced coverages in recent years.

Salvinia weevils were first introduced in 2004 as a biological control for giant salvinia. Additional weevil stockings have occurred every year since, but the numbers of insects and the locations have varied. Salvinia weevils are not cold tolerant, and mortality during the colder months has been the biggest obstacle to establishing populations large enough to impact salvinia abundance and distribution.

Historically, hydrilla coverage at Toledo Bend Reservoir has exceeded 10,000 surface acres, but has never required implementation of control measures. Although hydrilla is listed on the TPWD list of prohibitive plants, it is considered beneficial habitat at Toledo Bend Reservoir, and coverage has never been problematic or inhibited boater and angler access.

Water transfer: The annual water yield from Toledo Bend Reservoir is 2,086,600 acre-feet, of which half is allocated to Texas. The SRA-Texas holds a water right totaling 970,067 acre-feet/year. The Toledo Bend Project is operated jointly by SRA-Texas and SRA-Louisiana primarily for purposes of water supply and conservation, and secondarily for renewable hydropower production and recreation. Water supply customers utilizing Toledo Bend Reservoir include multiple municipalities, industrial and agricultural customers in the lower Sabine basin. Hydroelectric power is produced using two Kaplan units capable of providing up to 81-megawatt hours of clean, renewable power for use in both Texas and Louisiana. In 2003, SRA-Texas agreed to examine the feasibility of inter-basin transfer of water to north Texas (i.e., Dallas Water Utilities, Tarrant Regional Water District and North Texas Municipal Water District). The development of this pipeline project is projected for 2060 (Texas Water Development Board 2017).

Methods

Surveys were conducted to achieve survey and sampling objectives in accordance with the objective-based sampling (OBS) plan for Toledo Bend Reservoir (Driscoll and Ashe 2016). Primary components of the OBS plan are listed in Table 5. All survey sites were randomly selected and all surveys were conducted according to the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017).

Electrofishing – Largemouth Bass, Spotted Bass, sunfishes, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad were collected by fall electrofishing (1.3 hours at 16, 5-min stations). Largemouth Bass were also collected by spring electrofishing (1.3 hours at 16, 5-min stations). Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for electrofishing was recorded as the number of fish caught per hour (fish/h) of actual electrofishing. Ages for Largemouth Bass were determined using otoliths from 13 randomly-selected fish (range 13.0 to 14.9 inches).

Gill netting – Blue Catfish, Channel Catfish, and White Bass were collected by gill netting (15 net nights at 15 stations). CPUE for gill netting was recorded as the number of fish caught per net night (fish/nn).

Statistics – Sampling statistics (CPUE for various length categories), structural indices [Proportional Size Distribution (PSD), terminology modified by Guy et al. 2007], and condition indices [relative weight (W_r)] were calculated for target fishes according to Anderson and Neumann (1996). Index of Vulnerability (IOV) was calculated for Gizzard Shad (DiCenzo et al. 1996). Standard error (SE) was calculated for structural indices and IOV. Relative standard error (RSE = 100 X SE of the estimate/estimate) was calculated for all CPUE and creel statistics.

Creel survey – A roving creel survey was conducted from June 2019 through May 2020. Angler interviews were conducted on 5 weekend days and 4 weekdays per quarter to assess angler use and fish catch/harvest statistics in accordance with the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017). Total angler catch of Largemouth Bass \geq 4, 7, and 10 pounds was also estimated. Anglers were asked if released fish were within weight categories. Harvested fish lengths were converted to weights for classification (19 inches = 4 pounds; 23 inches = 7 pounds; 25 inches = 10 pounds). Harvested and released fish were combined to represent total catch for weight categories.

Habitat – Vegetation surveys via airplane were conducted in 2016–2019 to monitor hydrilla, water hyacinth, and giant salvinia coverages and distributions. Coverages were calculated with the digital shapefile method (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017).

Water level – Source for water level data was the United States Geological Survey (USGS 2020).

Results and Discussion

Habitat: A structural habitat survey conducted in 2003 indicated that the littoral zone included primarily dead timber and boat docks (Driscoll 2004). Over 60,000 acres of standing timber were present in Texas waters. Historically, aquatic vegetation coverage at Toledo Bend Reservoir (primarily hydrilla) has exceeded 10,000 surface acres. In 2014, hydrilla coverage was widespread at 13,848 acres (Table 6). However, from 2015-2019, coverages declined to < 600 acres. High winter and spring inflows in 2015 reduced hydrilla to only trace amounts, and similar spring inflows since then have prevented any significant regrowth. Native aquatic vegetation (American lotus) provided substantial habitat historically, but has been considerably reduced in recent years. Nuisance exotic species include giant salvinia and water hyacinth, but since 2010 water hyacinth has been limited to trace amounts scattered throughout the reservoir. Giant salvinia has been problematic in the headwaters of both the reservoir and all major embayments in shallow, backwater areas. In 2013, giant salvinia coverage reached the historic high of 9,314 acres, and was 8,693 acres in 2014. In 2015, high inflows that reduced hydrilla coverage also flushed giant salvinia from backwater areas, leading to high natural mortality. Beginning in 2016, legislative funding directed at invasive species facilitated more frequent herbicide treatments via AHE and contracted applicators, resulting in coverages < 3,000 acres.

Creel: Similar to that of previous survey years, fishing effort on the Texas side of Toledo Bend Reservoir was primarily directed at black basses (71.7%) and crappies (25.5%) (Table 7). In 2019/2020, total fishing effort was 345,319 h, a decline from 2013/2014 (535,642 h) and 2015/2016 (411,413 h) (Table 8). Similarly, direct expenditures in 2019/2020 (\$3,104,282) also declined from previous years. In 2019/2020, a majority of anglers traveled > 75 miles to reach Toledo Bend Reservoir (Appendix F).

Prey species: Primary prey species included Gizzard Shad, Threadfin Shad, and Bluegill. All three species provided an abundant forage base. Gizzard Shad catch rates increased over the last three sampling years (range = 90.0 to 188.3/h), and IOV increased to 61 in 2019 (Figure 2). Historically, Threadfin Shad catch rates have been highly variable; catch rate in 2019 was high at 8,853.8/h (Appendix A). Bluegill catch rates declined to 180.8/h in 2019 (Figure 3), possibly due to reduced recruitment related to the decline in hydrilla coverage. Few anglers sought sunfish (0.3% of total fishing effort) (Table 7), and total estimated harvest was 2,121 fish (Table 9).

Catfishes: Blue Catfish gill net catch rates increased over the last three sampling years (range = 6.1 to 10.5/nn) (Figure 5). Fish > 30 inches were caught during each survey year, and PSDs were stable and ranged from 28 to 38, indicating consistent recruitment rates. Fish condition was moderate as W_r ranged from 70 to 125, indicating adequate prey availability.

Gill net catch rates of Channel Catfish also increased over the last three survey years (2016 = 7.5/nn; 2018 = 9.1/nn; 2020 = 10.3/nn) (Figure 6). Population size structure was dominated by smaller fish (PSD range = 0 to 11).

Catfish anglers (rod and reel only) accounted for 1.6% of the total fishing effort (Table 7) and angler catch rate was high in 2019/2020 (2.8/h) (Table 10). Percent legal release for catfishes was consistent and high across creel years (range = 64 – 78%). Total estimated harvest was 11,046 fish; 59% of harvested fish were Blue Catfish (Figures 7 and 8). Anecdotal information indicated that Blue and Flathead Catfish provided a substantial passive gear fishery.

Temperate basses: Historically, gill net catch rates of White Bass have averaged 1.8/nn, reflecting a low-density population in the reservoir. During the last three survey years, catch rates ranged from 0.9 to 2.3/nn (Figure 9).

Since the 1970s, Striped Bass were frequently stocked by the LDWF to support broodfish procurement for Palmetto Bass production. However, no fish have been stocked since 2009, and they were last caught with gill nets in 2008.

During the last three creel surveys, little directed fishing effort for temperate basses was observed (Table 7). However, during the spawning season (January through March) a popular White Bass fishery exists in the Sabine River upstream of the reservoir. Estimated temperate bass harvest was 2,064 fish in 2019/2020 (Table 11).

Black basses: Spotted Bass were present in the reservoir, but only five were collected by fall electrofishing in 2019 (Appendix A). Total estimated harvest was 4,669 fish in 2019/2020 (Figure 14).

Fall electrofishing catch rates during 2015 (175.0/h) and 2017 (231.0/h) reflected relatively high Largemouth Bass abundance (Figure 12), but catch rates declined to 134.3/h in 2019. Overall population abundance may have declined due to a reduction in recruitment and year-class strength related to lack of hydrilla coverage. Overall population size structure was similar across years (PSD range = 42 to 64), but PSD-14 values were more variable (range = 18 to 41). Relative weights ranged from 88 to 114, indicating Largemouth Bass were in moderate condition. Growth rate was adequate, as average age at 14 inches was 2.1 years (N = 13; range = 1 – 4 years).

Similarly, spring electrofishing catch rates were higher and stable in 2016 (134.0/h) and 2018 (132.7/h) when compared to 2020 (78.0/h) (Figure 13), again reflecting a potential decline in Largemouth Bass population abundance. However, spring surveys reflected higher proportions of larger fish (PSD range =

69 to 80; PSD-14 range = 40 to 56).

Similar to previous years, the majority of total fishing effort (71.7%) was directed at black basses (Table 7). However, the tournament-related proportion has declined from 35.6% in 2013/2014 to 17.9% in 2019/2020. From 2013 to 2016, angler catch rates were relatively high and consistent, exceeding 1.0/h (Table 12). Catch rates in 2019/2020 declined to 0.65/h and suggest a reduction in black bass population abundance (similar to trends observed from electrofishing surveys). During 2019/2020, total directed effort (247,640 h) and harvest (39,772 fish) also decreased from previous years. A total of 15,306 fish were retained by tournament anglers for weigh-in and release. The proportion of legal-size fish immediately released varied over the last three survey years and was relatively low compared to most Texas reservoirs (range = 43 to 58%). The proportion of total catch during the last three survey periods was nearly identical for the four size categories, with fish \geq 4 pounds comprising approximately 3% of total catch (Table 12).

A tournament-monitoring program was implemented in June 2004 to increase information on legal-sized fish (\geq 14 inches) and provide greater insight regarding large ($>$ 20 inches) fish abundance (Appendix C). Since 2015, the frequency of tournaments with $>$ 50 entries has generally declined over the years, particularly for team tournaments, resulting in reduced utility of these statistics. Nonetheless, average weights of 1st – 3rd places were relatively high and stable, reflecting desirable numbers of larger fish. However, the proportion of anglers catching limits (5 legal-sized fish) and weights $>$ 15 pounds generally declined over the survey years, reflecting a reduction in population abundance of legal-size fish, as well as fish $>$ 18 inches. Across years for all tournaments, average big bass weight was high and ranged from 7.7 to 10.8 pounds. However, as evidenced by entries into the TBLA Lunker Bass Program, population abundance of trophy bass has declined from 2015 (139 entries) to 2019 (36 entries) (Appendix D). Since the inception of the revised TPWD ShareLunker Program in 2018, overall angler awareness and participation has been low at Toledo Bend Reservoir, as only 11 fish \geq 8 pounds have been entered (compared to 78 fish $>$ 10 pounds entered into the TBLA program).

Crappies: Historically, trap net catch rates of crappies have been low (2.3/nn). Trap net surveys were discontinued in 2004.

Creel data reflected a productive crappie fishery that was second to the black bass fishery in terms of total fishing effort (25.5%; Table 7). Total harvest was 73,860 fish (Table 13; Figure 17). Angler catch rate in 2019/2020 was 0.78/h, a substantial decline from catch rates observed during the previous two sampling years ($>$ 2.0/h).

Fisheries Management Plan for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas

Prepared – July 2020

ISSUE 1: Creel surveys indicate most sportfishing effort at Toledo Bend Reservoir is for Largemouth Bass. The reservoir also hosts a considerable number of bass tournaments annually (approximately 20 - 50% of black bass effort). Tournament-monitoring and creel data reflect high angler catch of large fish (> 8 pounds). Additionally, 334 fish > 10 pounds have been entered into the TBLA Lunker Bass Program since 2015 and the reservoir has produced seven ShareLunkers \geq 13 pounds. However, current data indicate that the Largemouth Bass population has declined, both in overall abundance and trophy fish numbers.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Continue annual stocking of FLMB (\geq 500,000 fingerlings) to maintain and improve the trophy Largemouth Bass population.
2. Continue the tournament-monitoring program to collect information on larger fish to justify FLMB stockings.
3. Continue to promote fish handling procedures that minimize tournament-related mortality to minimize impacts on Largemouth Bass population and reduce conflicts with non-tournament anglers.

ISSUE 2: Since the inception of the revised TPWD ShareLunker Program in 2018, overall angler awareness and participation has been low at Toledo Bend Reservoir, as only 11 fish \geq 8 pounds have been entered (compared to 78 fish \geq 10 pounds entered into the TBLA program).

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Promote the ShareLunker Program at local businesses (i.e., post program posters and fliers) and directly with anglers during presentations, creel surveys, and on-site informational booths at large (> 50 participants) black bass tournaments.
2. Consult with TBLA regarding program cooperation with their Lunker Bass Program and ShareLunker (i.e., appropriate entries into the TBLA program could be included as entries into ShareLunker).

ISSUE 3: In cooperation with LDWF, harvest regulations for Largemouth Bass were standardized reservoir-wide in 1991 (14-inch minimum length limit, 8-fish daily bag limit). In 2019, an online petition was created by Louisiana anglers in support of a change to a 5-fish/day bag limit. Currently, over 4,200 anglers have signed this petition. In response to this petition, TPWD collected angler-opinion data during the 2019/2020 creel survey, and 59% of anglers supported a change to 5 fish/day, while only 14% opposed. Historical data indicate that only 7% of black bass angling parties harvested > 5 fish/person/day, suggesting minimal biological impacts. However, social opinion favors change to a 5 fish daily bag, and this change would simplify the regulation for Texas anglers (i.e., match our current statewide bag of 5 fish/day).

MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Consult with LDWF regarding their angler opinion data and desire to change the Largemouth Bass bag limit to 5 fish/day (in aggregate with Spotted Bass). To maintain reservoir-wide standardization of harvest regulations, only recommend a bag limit change if LDWF is agreeable and adopts this regulation for Louisiana waters.

ISSUE 4: Giant salvinia exceeded 9,000 acres in 2013 (historical high) and impeded angler access. Although coverage has declined in recent years, giant salvinia is a significant problem reservoir-wide.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. The TPWD AHE office oversees management of giant salvinia at Toledo Bend Reservoir. Continue to assist AHE staff with implementation of management strategies.
2. Monitor giant salvinia coverage annually via airplane to document plant distribution and effects of control measures (i.e., herbicides and weevils).
3. Continue to oversee herbicide treatments by private contractors. Minimize collateral damage to desirable plants.
4. At access points, maintain all educational signs and continue herbicide treatments to prevent transport to other waters.
5. Continue to investigate effects of salvinia weevil releases.
6. Continue to communicate with LDWF regarding plant distribution and control measures.
7. Educate the public about giant salvinia and other invasive species via news releases and presentations.

ISSUE 5: Historically, the crappie fishery at Toledo Bend Reservoir has been productive and popular, accounting for approximately 20% of the annual angling effort (50,000 to 100,000 h). In 2018, TBLA agreed to annually donate materials to construct 20 plastic fish attractors to improve angling success.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Conduct creel surveys every four years to monitor the crappie fishery, as trap netting at Toledo Bend Reservoir is not effective.
2. Continue the fish attractor partnership with TBLA. Monitor condition of existing plastic fish attractor reefs (N = 15) via sonar and scuba, and refurbish with additional attractors as necessary.

ISSUE 6: Area constituents are interested in TPWD activities and management actions related to Toledo Bend Reservoir and need to be informed.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Continue to publish monthly popular articles on TPWD activities in the Lakecaster, a newsletter distributed to approximately 30 counties in Texas and Louisiana.

Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2020–2024)

Sport fish, forage fish, and other important fishes

Sport fishes in Toledo Bend Reservoir include Largemouth Bass, Spotted Bass, crappies, Channel Catfish, Blue Catfish, Flathead Catfish, and White Bass. Important forage species include Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad.

Low-density fisheries

Historically, White Bass and Striped Bass catch rates from gill net surveys were ≤ 3.0 and $1.0/n$, respectively, indicating low population densities in the reservoir. Since the 1970s, Striped Bass were frequently stocked by the LDWF to support broodfish procurement for Palmetto Bass production. However, no fish have been stocked since 2009, and no fish have been caught with gill nets since 2008. During the last three survey years, less than 3% of angling effort was directed at temperate basses. Although no future directed sampling is planned, temperate bass catch will be recorded from gill net surveys directed at catfishes (see below).

Survey objectives, fisheries metrics, and sampling objectives

Largemouth Bass: Largemouth Bass are the most popular sport fish in Toledo Bend Reservoir, accounting for approximately 80% of the annual angling effort (30-50% of effort tournament-related). The reservoir supports a high-quality, nationally-recognized fishery with substantial economic contributions. Largemouth Bass have always been managed with the 14-inch MLL regulation. For nearly 20 years, trend data on CPUE, size structure, and body condition (with fall and spring electrofishing), and angler catch, effort, and harvest (with roving creel surveys) were collected annually. Since 2014, biennial surveys have been conducted for fall and spring electrofishing. Creel surveys have been conducted every four years since 2016. Continuation of biennial trend data with night electrofishing (both fall and spring) in 2021/2022 and 2023/2024 will allow for determination of any large-scale changes in the Largemouth Bass population that may spur further investigation. A minimum of 16 randomly selected 5-min electrofishing sites will be sampled, but sampling will continue at random sites until 50 stock-sized fish are collected and the RSE of CPUE-S is ≤ 25 (the anticipated effort to meet both sampling objectives is 12-20 stations with 80% confidence). If failure to achieve either objective has occurred after one night of sampling and objectives can be attained with 6-12 additional random stations, additional effort will be expended. Annual creel surveys will be conducted every four years (4 quarters, 5 weekend and 4 week days/quarter), with the next survey scheduled for 2023/2024.

Crappies: The crappie fishery is the second most popular at Toledo Bend Reservoir, accounting for 10 – 20% of the annual angling effort. Historically, trap netting has resulted in low and variable catch rates. For over 10 years, creel surveys have been used to monitor the crappie fishery and make inferences about the population. Although directed effort and harvest have varied over the years, angler catch rates have remained relatively high since 2005 (range = 1.3 – 2.8 fish/h), reflecting an abundant crappie population. A creel survey will be conducted in 2023/2024 and every four years thereafter to detect any large-scale changes in the crappie population that may warrant additional sampling.

Catfishes: The rod and reel catfish fishery accounts for $<5\%$ of the annual angling effort. Anecdotal information indicates that the passive gear fishery is more popular and accounts for frequent catches of Blue and Flathead Catfish > 30 pounds, especially in the upper third of the reservoir. Flathead Catfish are managed with an 18-inch MLL, 10-fish daily bag limit. Channel and Blue Catfish are managed with a no MLL, 50-fish daily bag limit (no more than 5 fish ≥ 30 inches retained per day).

Biennial gill netting data has indicated relatively stable Channel and Blue Catfish recruitment and abundance, and should provide adequate population-level insight relative to large-scale changes that would dictate further investigation. A minimum of 15 randomly selected gill netting sites will be sampled

in 2022 and 2024, but sampling will continue at random sites until 50 stock-sized fish are collected and the RSE of CPUE-S is ≤ 25 (the anticipated effort to meet both sampling objectives is 12-18 stations with 80% confidence). Additional sampling will occur (5-10 gill netting sites) if objectives are not attained.

Currently, little is known about the Flathead Catfish population at Toledo Bend Reservoir. However, the majority of passive-gear angling occurs in the upper third of the reservoir. Although a low-frequency electrofishing survey was attempted in June 2015 (upper reservoir only) to establish a baseline relative abundance estimate for trend comparisons over time, only two fish were collected from 10 random stations. No additional sampling for Flathead Catfish is planned.

Prey species: Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad are the primary forage at Toledo Bend Reservoir. Like Largemouth Bass, trend data on CPUE and size structure were collected annually for 20 years with fall electrofishing. Since 2014, fall electrofishing has been conducted biennially. Continuation of biennial sampling, as per Largemouth Bass above, will allow for monitoring of large-scale changes in Bluegill and Gizzard Shad relative abundance and size structure. Effort based on achieving sampling objectives for Largemouth Bass will result in sufficient numbers of Bluegill for size structure (PSD; 50 fish minimum) and relative abundance (RSE ≤ 25 of CPUE-Total) and Gizzard Shad size structure (IOV; 50 fish minimum). At the effort needed to achieve sampling objectives for Largemouth Bass, the expected RSE for CPUE-T is 39 for Gizzard Shad and 66 for Threadfin Shad. No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE25 for Gizzard or Threadfin Shad, but Largemouth Bass body condition (fish ≥ 8 " TL) will be used to provide additional information on forage abundance and vulnerability.

Literature Cited

- Anderson, R. O., and R. M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-482 in B. R. Murphy and D. W. Willis, editors. Fisheries techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- DiCenzo, V. J., M. J. Maceina, and M. R. Stimpert. 1996. Relations between reservoir trophic state and Gizzard Shad population characteristics in Alabama reservoirs. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 16:888-895.
- Driscoll, M. T. 2004. Statewide freshwater fisheries monitoring and management program survey report for Toledo Bend Reservoir, 2003. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Federal Aid Report F-30-R, Austin.
- Driscoll, T., and D. Ashe. 2016. Statewide freshwater fisheries monitoring and management program survey report for Toledo Bend Reservoir, 2011. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Federal Aid Report F-30-R, Austin.
- Guy, C. S., R. M. Neumann, D. W. Willis, and R. O. Anderson. 2007. Proportional size distribution (PSD): a further refinement of population size structure index terminology. Fisheries 32(7): 348.
- Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. 2020. Trophic classification of Texas reservoirs. 2020 Texas integrated report for Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d), Austin. 15 pp.
- Texas Water Development Board. 2017. Water for Texas. 2017 state water plan. 135 pp.
- United States Geological Society (USGS). 2020. National water information system: Web interface. Available: <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis> (May 2020).

Tables and Figures




Figure 1. Quarterly water level elevations in feet above mean sea level (MSL) recorded for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas.

Table 1. Characteristics of Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas.

Characteristic	Description
Year constructed	1966
Controlling authority	Sabine River Authority
County	Newton, Sabine, and Shelby
Reservoir type	Mainstem
Shoreline Development Index	21.2
Conductivity	120 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

Table 2. Boat ramp characteristics for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, March 2020. Reservoir elevation at time of survey was 172 feet above mean sea level.

Boat ramp	Latitude Longitude (dd)	Public	Parking capacity (N)	Elevation at end of boat ramp (ft)	Condition
Andersons	31.162648 93.583517	Y	40	162	Excellent
Newton County	31.153861 93.594517	Y	12	164	Adequate
Paradise Point	31.205157 93.659961	Y	20	163	Excellent
Willow Oak	31.211520 93.733369	Y	14	164	Excellent
Six Mile	31.238681 93.755865	Y	30	162	Excellent
Twin Oaks	31.246955 93.758859	Y	15	162	Adequate
Fin and Feather	31.279031 93.720730	Y	62	162	Excellent
Jack's 944	31.298236 93.753221	Y	10	164	Adequate
White Oak	31.310339 93.698019	Y	10	161	Adequate
Indian Mounds	31.328243 93.694740	Y	30	162	Excellent
Lowes Creek	31.372340 93.716929	Y	25	163	Adequate
Harborlight	31.409432 93.781470	Y	12	165	Adequate
Mid Lake Campground	31.416172 93.778926	Y	4	163	Poor, limited parking, rough ramp
Alpine Marina	31.426599 93.749389	Y	10	158	Adequate
Chateau Shores	31.458580 93.759177	Y	10	164	Adequate
Frontier Park	31.454580 93.769585	Y	6	165	Adequate

			14			
Pendleton Harbor	31.463457 93.751533	Y	10	168	Adequate	
Playcation Marina	31.520378 93.800937	Y	3	166	Poor, limited parking	
Holly Park #1	31.522311 93.801259	Y	10	157	Adequate	
Holly Park #2	31.522290 93.801305	Y	20	166	Adequate	
Bean's VIP	31.514980 93.779075	Y	6	166	Poor, rough road and ramp	
Newell's Fishing World	31.516551 93.771824	Y	30	162	Poor, rough road and parking lot	
Shamrock Marina	31.522458 93.786841	Y	20	165	Adequate	
East Hamilton	31.597306 93.839628	Y	20	160	Excellent	
Nath Road	31.598265 93.846441	Y	4	164	Poor, dirt road, not accessible when wet	
Ragtown	31.681047 93.828269	Y	20	165	Closed due to storm damage and related woody debris	
Bayou Siepe	31.732396 93.829848	Y	8	161	Adequate	
Huxley Bay Marina	31.751496 93.844425	Y	30	161	Adequate	
Bill's Landing	31.821105 93.906238	Y	15	164	Adequate	
Tenaha Creek	31.843365 93.941008	Y	8	165	Adequate	
Williams Camp	31.882399 93.940378	Y	8	165	Poor, rough road and parking	
Swede Johnson	31.919395 93.968925	Y	30	160	Excellent	
Joaquin	31.972200 94.008469	Y	12	165	Adequate	

Table 3. Harvest regulations for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas.

Species	Bag limit	Length limit
Gar, Alligator	1 ^a	None
Catfish: Channel and Blue Catfish, their hybrids and subspecies	50 (in any combination)	None ^b
Catfish, Flathead	10	18-inch minimum
Bass, White	25	None
Bass, Striped	5	None ^c
Bass, Largemouth	8 ^d	14-inch minimum
Bass, Spotted	8 ^d	None
Crappie: White and Black Crappie, their hybrids and subspecies	25 (in any combination)	None

^a Mandatory harvest reporting required for all harvested Alligator Gar (reporting available through the My Texas Hunt Harvest app or at <https://apps.tpwd.state.tx.us/huntharvest/home.faces>)

^b Only 5 Channel or Blue Catfish \geq 30 inches may be retained each day.

^c Only 2 Striped Bass \geq 30 inches may be retained each day.

^d Bag limit for Spotted and Largemouth Bass is 8 in the aggregate.

Table 4 Stocking history of Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas. FGL = fingerling; AFGL = advanced fingerling; ADL = adults; UNK = unknown; FRY = fry.

Species	Year	Number	Size
Channel Catfish	1967	544,745	AFGL
	Total	544,745	
Flathead Catfish	1973	400	UNK
	Total	400	
Florida Largemouth Bass	1985	225,300	FGL
	1985	107,323	FRY
	1988	150,000	FRY
	1990	446,797	FRY
	1991	194,714	FGL
	1991	207,291	FRY
	1992	406,497	FGL
	1993	204,653	FGL
	1993	1,616,523	FRY
	1994	370,104	FGL
	1994	733,997	FRY
	1995	400,007	FGL
	1996	450,015	FGL
	1997	234,875	FGL
	1998	162,837	FGL
	1998	237,898	FRY
	1999	1,206,777	FGL
	2000	321,974	FGL
	2001	508,505	FGL
	2002	740,373	FGL
	2003	961,015	FGL
	2004	492,536	FGL
	2005	849,436	FGL
2007	502,918	FGL	
2008	512,768	FGL	
2009	860,614	FGL	
2010	509,034	FGL	
2011	499,321	FGL	
2012	500,666	FGL	
2013	604,447	FGL	
2015	508,034	FGL	
2016	502,971	FGL	
2017	486,918	FGL	
2018	740,541	FGL	
2019	830,073	FGL	
Total		18,287,752	

Species	Year	Number	Size
Largemouth Bass	1967	1,689,700	FRY
	1967	284,300	UNK
	1987	305	AFGL
	1987	22,900	FGL
	Total	1,997,205	
Paddlefish	1992	106,234	FRY
	1995	15,334	FRY
	Total	121,568	
ShareLunker Largemouth Bass	2006	4,592	FGL
	2008	2,604	FGL
	2012	9,051	FGL
	2013	4,677	FGL
	2014	14,078	FGL
	Total	35,002	
Striped Bass	1974	16,290	FGL
	1976	60,178	UNK
	1977	100,200	UNK
	1979	95,000	UNK
	1981	96,249	UNK
	1983	104,133	UNK
	1984	406,920	FGL
	1985	484,500	FGL
	1986	203,000	FRY
	1988	719,115	FGL
	1988	29,200	FRY
	1991	240,364	FGL
	2002	272,179	FGL
	Total	2,827,328	

Table 5. Objective-based sampling plan components for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2019–2020.

Gear/target species	Survey objective	Metrics	Sampling objective
<i>Electrofishing</i>			
Largemouth Bass	Abundance	CPUE – stock	RSE-stock ≤ 25
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$ stock
	Age-and-growth	Age at 14 inches	$N = 13, 13.0 - 14.9$ inches
	Condition	W_r	10 fish/inch group (max)
Bluegill ^a	Abundance	CPUE – total	
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$
Gizzard Shad ^a	Abundance	CPUE – total	
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$
	Prey availability	IOV	$N \geq 50$
Threadfin Shad ^a	Abundance	CPUE – total	
<i>Gill netting</i>			
Blue Catfish	Abundance	CPUE– stock	RSE-stock ≤ 25
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$ stock
	Condition	W_r	10 fish/inch group (max)
Channel Catfish ^a	Abundance	CPUE– stock	
	Size structure	PSD, length frequency	$N \geq 50$ stock
	Condition	W_r	10 fish/inch group (max)
<i>Creel survey^b</i>			
Black basses	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	
Crappies	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	
Catfishes	Trend information on angler utilization	Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition	

^a No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE ≤ 25 for CPUE of Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, Threadfin Shad, or Channel Catfish, if not reached from designated Largemouth Bass or Blue Catfish sampling effort.

^b Angler utilization data and associated statistics will be calculated for all sport fish.

Table 6. Survey of aquatic vegetation, Toledo Bend Reservoir, September 2014 - 2019. Surface area (acres) is listed (both Texas and Louisiana) with percent of total reservoir surface area in parentheses.

Species	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
American lotus	3,032 (2)	736 (<1)	683 (<1)	22 (<1)	222 (<1)	70 (<1)
Giant salvinia (Tier II) ^a	8,693 (5)	2,068 (1)	876 (<1)	623 (<1)	2,583 (1)	168 (<1)
Hydrilla (Tier III) ^a	13,848 (8)	Trace	442 (<1)	395 (<1)	562(<1)	Trace
Water hyacinth (Tier II) ^a	Trace	Trace	Trace	Trace	Trace	Trace

^a Tier II is Maintenance, Tier III is Watch Status

Table 7. Percent directed angler effort by species for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2013–2020. For black basses, proportions of tournament-angler effort are in parentheses. Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 May.

Species	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Catfishes	1.4	2.3	1.6
Temperate basses	0.7	0.6	0.0
Sunfishes	0.7	0.8	0.3
Black basses	78.0 (35.6)	84.4 (27.4)	71.7 (17.9)
Crappies	15.9	10.4	25.5
Anything	3.1	1.5	0.9

Table 8. Total fishing effort (h) for all species and total directed expenditures at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2013-2020. Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 May. Relative standard error is in parentheses.

Creel statistic	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Total fishing effort	535,642 (17)	411,413 (16)	345,319 (20)
Total directed expenditures	\$6,082,890 (25)	\$3,945,419 (23)	\$3,104,282 (26)

Gizzard Shad




Figure 2. Number of Gizzard Shad caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for IOV are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2017, and 2019.

Bluegill




Figure 3. Number of Bluegill caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2017, and 2019.

Sunfishes

Table 9. Creel survey statistics for sunfishes at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas from June 2013 through May 2014, June 2015 through May 2016, and June 2019 through May 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting sunfishes, and total harvest is the estimated number of sunfishes harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Surface area (acres)	70,469	70,469	70,469
Directed effort (h)	3,926 (52)	3,165 (61)	1,110 (102)
Directed effort/acre	0.06 (52)	0.04 (61)	0.02 (102)
Total catch per hour	12.78 (46)	4.60 (52)	3.17 (47)
Total harvest	18,570 (142)	6,315 (85)	2,121 (178)
Harvest/acre	0.26 (142)	0.09 (85)	0.03 (85)
Percent legal released	69	85	93




Figure 4. Length frequency of harvested Bluegill observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Bluegill observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

Blue Catfish




Figure 5. Number of Blue Catfish caught per net night (CPUE, bars), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Channel Catfish




Figure 6. Number of Channel Catfish caught per net night (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Catfishes

Table 10. Creel survey statistics for catfishes at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, from June 2013 through May 2014, June 2015 through May 2016, and June 2019 through May 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting catfishes and total harvest is the estimated number of catfishes harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Surface area (acres)	70,469	70,469	70,469
Directed effort (h)	7,747 (39)	9,475 (33)	5,435 (45)
Directed effort/acre	0.11 (39)	0.13 (33)	0.08 (33)
Total catch per hour	2.21 (52)	1.30 (20)	2.76 (45)
Total harvest	9,854 (170)	5,554 (120)	11,046 (131)
Harvest/acre	0.14 (170)	0.08 (120)	0.16 (131)
Percent legal released	64	74	78




Figure 7. Length frequency of harvested Blue Catfish observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Blue Catfish observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.




Figure 8. Length frequency of harvested Channel Catfish observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Channel Catfish observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

White Bass




Figure 9. Number of White Bass caught per net night (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Temperate basses

Table 11. Creel survey statistics for temperate basses at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, from June 2013 through May 2014, June 2015 through May 2016, and June 2019 through May 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting temperate basses and total harvest is the estimated number of temperate basses harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Surface area (acres)	70,469	70,469	70,469
Directed effort (h)	3,805 (49)	2,425 (87)	0
Directed effort/acre	0.05 (49)	0.03 (87)	0.00
Total catch per hour	3.79 (52)	3.20 (23)	0.00
Total harvest	18,360 (348)	12,130 (91)	2,064 (715)
Harvest/acre	0.26 (348)	0.17 (91)	0.03 (715)
Percent legal released	42	69	59




Figure 10. Length frequency of harvested White Bass observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested White Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.




Figure 11. Length frequency of harvested Yellow Bass observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Yellow Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

Largemouth Bass




Figure 12. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2015, 2017, and 2019.

Largemouth Bass




Figure 13. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring electrofishing surveys, Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2016, 2018, and 2020.

Black basses

Table 12. Creel survey statistics for black basses at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas from June 2013 through May 2014, June 2015 through May 2016, and June 2019 through May 2020. Catch rate is for all anglers targeting black basses. Harvest is partitioned by the estimated number of fish harvested by non-tournament anglers and the number of fish retained by tournament anglers for weigh-in and release. The estimated number of fish caught by weight category is for all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel survey statistic	Year		
	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Surface area (acres)	70,469	70,469	70,469
Directed angling effort (h)			
Tournament	148,956 (22)	94,766 (17)	61,794 (26)
Non-tournament	269,089 (18)	251,564 (17)	185,846 (22)
All black bass anglers combined	418,045 (19)	346,331 (16)	247,640 (23)
Angling effort/acre	5.89 (19)	4.91 (16)	3.51 (23)
Catch rate (number/h)	1.06 (16)	1.21 (15)	0.65 (17)
Harvest			
Non-tournament harvest	96,783 (19)	43,572 (29)	39,772 (35)
Harvest/acre	1.36 (19)	0.62 (29)	0.56 (35)
Tournament weigh-in and release	43,983 (11)	25,983 (30)	15,306 (52)
Total catch			
<4.0 lbs	473,230 – 96.4%	410,071 – 96.7%	188,266 – 97.4%
4.0-6.9 lbs	16,460 – 3.4%	12,728 – 3.0%	4,937 – 2.6%
7.0-9.9 lbs	1,309 – 0.3%	1,338 – 0.3%	0
≥10.0 lbs	0	0	0
Percent legal released (non-tournament)	43	58	51




Figure 14. Length frequency of harvested Spotted Bass observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Spotted Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.




Figure 15. Length frequency of non-tournament harvested Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.




Figure 16. Length frequency of tournament-retained and released Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys, and TR is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

Crappies

Table 13. Creel survey statistics for crappies at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, from June 2013 through May 2014, June 2015 through May 2016, and June 2019 through May 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting crappies and total harvest is the estimated number of crappies harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

Creel Survey Statistic	Year		
	2013/2014	2015/2016	2019/2020
Surface area (acres)	70,469	70,469	70,469
Directed effort (h)	85,289 (22)	42,718 (22)	87,907 (22)
Directed effort/acre	1.20 (22)	0.60 (22)	1.25 (22)
Total catch per hour	2.12 (33)	2.19 (36)	0.78 (26)
Total harvest	208,955 (41)	51,898 (38)	73,860 (32)
Harvest/acre	2.94 (41)	0.74 (38)	1.05 (32)
Percent legal released	40	34	41




Figure 17. Length frequency of harvested crappies observed during creel surveys at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, June through May, 2013/2014, 2015/2016, and 2019/2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested crappies observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

Proposed Sampling Schedule

Table 14. Proposed sampling schedule for Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas. Survey period is June through May. Gill netting surveys are conducted in the winter, while electrofishing surveys are conducted in the fall and spring. Standard survey denoted by S and additional survey denoted by A.

	Survey year			
	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024
Angler Access				S
Vegetation	A	A	A	S
Electrofishing – Fall		A		S
Electrofishing – Spring		A		A
Gill netting		A		S
Creel survey				A
Report				S

APPENDIX A – Catch rates for all species from all gear types


Number (N) and catch rate (CPUE) (RSE in parentheses) of all target species collected from all gear types from Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2019-2020. Sampling effort was 15 net nights for gill netting and 1.3 hours for electrofishing.

Species	Gill Netting		Electrofishing	
	N	CPUE	N	CPUE
Gizzard Shad			251	188.3 (16)
Threadfin Shad			11,805	8,853.8 (13)
Blue Catfish	158	10.5 (17)		
Channel Catfish	154	10.3 (23)		
White Bass	35	2.3 (29)		
Palmetto Bass	2	0.1 (68)		
Redbreast Sunfish			51	38.3 (36)
Warmouth			3	2.3 (73)
Bluegill			241	180.8 (26)
Longear Sunfish			21	15.8 (35)
Redear Sunfish			54	40.5 (27)
Redspotted Sunfish			7	5.3 (47)
Spotted Bass			5	3.8 (38)
Largemouth Bass			179	134.3 (16)

APPENDIX B – Map of sampling locations



Location of sampling sites, north Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2019-2020. Gill net, fall electrofishing, and spring electrofishing stations are indicated by G, F, and S, respectively. Water level was 7 feet below full pool for fall electrofishing, and full pool for gill netting and spring electrofishing.



Location of sampling sites, south Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, 2019-2020. Gill net, fall electrofishing, and spring electrofishing stations are indicated by G, F, and S, respectively. Water level was 7 feet below full pool for fall electrofishing, and full pool for gill netting and spring electrofishing.


APPENDIX C – Black bass tournament results

Average results from individual and team format bass tournaments at Toledo Bend Reservoir, 2015-2019. Only tournaments with 5-fish bag limits and > 50 individuals or teams were included. Weights are expressed in pounds.

Year	N	1 st place weight	2 nd place weight	3 rd place weight	% total weight > 15 lbs.	% catching limit	Big bass weight
Individual							
2015	6	25.4	23.2	21.8	29.6	65.8	8.9
2016	8	24.1	21.4	20.3	16.7	60.4	9.1
2017	2	22.8	19.0	18.1	11.3	42.3	8.2
2018	5	22.0	19.7	18.7	7.2	26.9	8.1
2019	3	23.7	20.3	19.1	10.4	32.2	7.7
Team							
2015	10	27.0	24.3	23.1	21.2	46.0	10.7
2016	3	32.0	29.2	24.9	18.3	43.3	10.8
2017	7	26.2	23.5	22.1	15.6	44.2	9.5
2018	1	33.2	30.2	22.5	14.7	25.1	9.6
2019	1	25.6	20.8	20.0	12.8	30.8	10.1

APPENDIX D – Toledo Bend Lake Association lunker bass entries

Annual angler entries of Largemouth Bass \geq 10 pounds into the Toledo Bend Lake Association (TBLA) Lunker Bass Program. All entries must be weighed at official TBLA weigh stations on certified scales, or an approved tournament scale. The program period is 1 May to 30 April each year.




APPENDIX E – Angler opinion regarding a bag limit change for Largemouth Bass

Angler opinion related to a proposed change from the current 8-fish/day bag limit for Largemouth Bass (in aggregate with Spotted Bass) to a 5-fish/day bag limit. Responses were obtained from the June 2019 – May 2020 creel survey and are expressed as percentages.

	All anglers (N = 272)	Texas anglers (N = 122)	Louisiana anglers (N = 150)
Support	59	62	55
Against	14	7	19
No opinion	28	31	25

APPENDIX F – Reporting of creel ZIP code data



Frequency of anglers that traveled various distances (miles) to Toledo Bend Reservoir, Texas, as determined from the June 2019 through May 2020 creel survey.



Life's better outside.®

In accordance with Texas State Depository Law, this publication is available at the Texas State Publications Clearinghouse and/or Texas Depository Libraries.

© Texas Parks and Wildlife, PWD RP T3200-1384 (09/20)

TPWD receives funds from the USFWS. TPWD prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, disability, age, and gender, pursuant to state and federal law. To request an accommodation or obtain information in an alternative format, please contact TPWD on a Text Telephone (TTY) at (512) 389-8915 or by Relay Texas at 7-1-1 or (800) 735-2989 or by email at accessibility@tpwd.texas.gov. If you believe you have been discriminated against by TPWD, please contact TPWD, 4200 Smith School Road, Austin, TX 78744, or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office for Diversity and Workforce Management, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041.